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#### Abstract

UCl}_{4}\left\{\mathrm{OAs}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right\}_{2}\right.\) ], $\mathrm{UCl}_{4} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{30}, M$ $=736$. Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n, a=13 \cdot 67$ (1), $b=11 \cdot 24$ (1), $c=7 \cdot 705$ (5) $\AA, \beta=103 \cdot 2(1)^{\circ}, U=1152 \AA^{3}, D_{c}=2 \cdot 128$ $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}, Z=2, \mu($ Mo $K \alpha)=99.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . R=0.042$ for 1631 observed data; U , As and two Cl atoms anisotropic and H atoms included. The two $\mathrm{OAs}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}$ ligands are trans coordinated to the U in the squareplanar $\mathrm{UCl}_{4}$ group. $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl} 2 \cdot 66,2 \cdot 67$; $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O} 2 \cdot 16$, As-O $1.71 \AA$; mean As-C $1.92 \AA ;$ U-O-As $154^{\circ}$.


Introduction. Recent studies have shown that arsine oxide ligands are stronger oxygen donors than their P analogues, and are especially effective in replacing $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ ions in the coordination sphere of $\mathrm{UCl}_{4}$ (du Preez, Edge, Gibson, Rohwer \& van Vuuren, 1976). Previous crystallographic work has shown that the species $\mathrm{UCl}_{4} .2 \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~L}=$ substituted phosphine oxide, may be trans for L=HMPA (de Wet \& Darlow, 1971) and PTPA (Nassimbeni, 1975) or cis for $\mathrm{L}=$ TPPO (Bombieri, Brown \& Graziani, 1975). [UCl $\left.\left\{\mathrm{CAAs}_{4}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right\}_{2}\right]$ was the first arsine oxide complex of $\mathrm{UCl}_{4}$ to be reported (Lane \& Venanzi, 1969) and it was of interest to determine whether the stronger U-O interaction would have any detectable effect on the $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}$ lengths.

Suitable crystals were supplied by Professor J. G. H. du Preez. They were moisture-sensitive, and had to be sealed in capillaries. Data were collected from a crystal $0.15 \times 0.30 \times 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$ on a Philips four-circle diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo $K \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.7107 \AA$ ) for $\theta$ between 3 and $25^{\circ}$. The $\omega-2 \theta$ scan technique was used; the scan width was $1.0^{\circ}$, the scan time was 33 s and the background was counted for 33 s for each peak. Of the 2133 reflexions measured, 1631 were classed as observed, $I>1 \cdot 65 \sigma(I)$. Three reflexions were used as standards and their intensities remeasured after every 60 reflexions; no decomposition was detected. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects only. A temperature-sharpened

Patterson map confirmed that the U atom was at $0,0,0$ and gave the coordinates of the As atom. The $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{O}$ and C atoms were found in the subsequent Fourier map. The structure was refined by block-diagonal least squares with U , As and two Cl atoms anisotropic, O and six C atoms isotropic. When $R$ reached 0.044 , a difference map clearly showed electron density in regions where the H atoms could be expected. The 15 H atoms were included in the structure factor calculations and the parameters of the heavy atoms refined to convergence; final $R=0.042$ for 1631 observed

Table 1. Atomic coordinates and thermal parameters
(a) Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters*

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U | $0 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 0$ |  |
| As | $0 \cdot 2083$ (1) | 0.0961 (1) | $0 \cdot 3831$ (1) |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 0.0401 (2) | $0 \cdot 2208$ (2) | -0.0853 (3) |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | -0.1297 (2) | 0.0895 (2) | $0 \cdot 1775$ (4) |  |
| 0 | $0 \cdot 1205$ (4) | $0 \cdot 0174$ (6) | $0 \cdot 2339$ (8) | $3 \cdot 25$ (13) |
| C(1) | $0 \cdot 2745$ (7) | $0 \cdot 2024$ (9) | $0 \cdot 2533$ (13) | 3.64 (20) |
| C(2) | $0 \cdot 3225$ (8) | $0 \cdot 1358$ (11) | $0 \cdot 1176$ (15) | 4.92 (27) |
| C(3) | $0 \cdot 3018$ (7) | -0.0163 (9) | $0 \cdot 5156$ (13) | 3.73 (21) |
| C(4) | 0.3853 (8) | 0.0476 (10) | 0.6533 (15) | 4.54 (25) |
| C(5) | $0 \cdot 1405$ (8) | $0 \cdot 1858$ (10) | 0.5332 (14) | $4 \cdot 37$ (24) |
| C(6) | 0.0857 (9) | $0 \cdot 2926$ (11) | $0 \cdot 4514$ (16) | $5 \cdot 31$ (28) |
| H(11) | 0.330 | 0.245 | 0.345 |  |
| H(12) | 0.225 | $0 \cdot 260$ | $0 \cdot 185$ |  |
| H(21) | 0.355 | $0 \cdot 195$ | 0.055 |  |
| H(22) | 0.265 | 0.095 | 0.030 |  |
| H(23) | $0 \cdot 370$ | 0.080 | $0 \cdot 185$ |  |
| H(31) | $0 \cdot 330$ | -0.060 | 0.430 |  |
| H(32) | $0 \cdot 260$ | -0.070 | 0.575 |  |
| H(41) | $0 \cdot 430$ | -0.015 | 0.720 |  |
| H(42) | 0.350 | 0.090 | 0.735 |  |
| H(43) | $0 \cdot 420$ | 0.105 | 0.590 |  |
| H(51) | 0.190 | 0.210 | 0.650 |  |
| H(52) | 0.095 | $0 \cdot 130$ | $0 \cdot 580$ |  |
| H(61) | 0.055 | 0.330 | 0.540 |  |
| H(62) | 0.035 | $0 \cdot 265$ | 0.345 |  |
| H(63) | 0.135 | $0 \cdot 345$ | $0 \cdot 415$ |  |

Table 1 (cont.)
(b) Anisotropic thermal motion parameters $\left(\times 10^{5}\right)$. The expression is $\exp \left[-\left(h^{2} \beta_{11}+k^{2} \beta_{22}+l^{2} \beta_{33}+h k \beta_{12}+h l \beta_{13}+k l \beta_{23}\right)\right]$.

|  | $\beta_{11}$ | $\beta_{22}$ | $\beta_{33}$ | $\beta_{12}$ | $\beta_{13}$ | $\beta_{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| U | $263(2)$ | $483(4)$ | $1191(9)$ | $-69(7)$ | $22(7)$ | $86(14)$ |
| As | $277(5)$ | $430(8)$ | $960(19)$ | $12(12)$ | $2(15)$ | $-38(22)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $452(15)$ | $528(22)$ | $1773(58)$ | $-95(30)$ | $321(48)$ | $279(58)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $562(18)$ | $795(26)$ | $2149(65)$ | $123(37)$ | $983(55)$ | $30(71)$ |

data.* Weighting was proportional to $1 / \sigma(F)$. Scattering factors for neutral atoms were used (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1962); that of U was corrected for anomalous dispersion. Final positional and thermal parameters are given in Table 1, bond lengths and angles in Table 2: estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.

Table 2. Interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ) and bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
(a) Bond lengths and angles

| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.66(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $88.5(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $2.67(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}$ | $90 \cdot 5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}$ | $2.16(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}$ | $88.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As}$ | $1.71(1)$ | $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As}$ | $154(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.91(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $108(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.92(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $107(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.92(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $108(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.54(3)$ | As-C(1)-C(2) | $112(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.54(3)$ | As--C(3)-C(4) | $111(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.48(3)$ | As--C(5)-C(6) | $116(2)$ |

(b) Important intramolecular non-bonded contacts

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{Cl}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1) & 3.65 & \mathrm{Cl}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}(6) & 3.95 \\
\mathrm{Cl}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2) & 3.92 & \mathrm{Cl}(2)^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{C}(2) & 3.97
\end{array}
$$

(c) Shorter intermolecular contacts

Unit-cell

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(3)^{11}$ | translation |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(4)^{11}$ | - | 3.61 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(1)^{1}$ | - | 3.87 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(2)^{1}$ | - | 3.66 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(1)^{1}$ | - | 3.97 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4) \cdots \mathrm{C}(6)^{1}$ | - | 3.69 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(2)^{1}$ | - | 3.67 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0,0,-1$ | 3.98 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0,0,-1$ | 3.51 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1)^{11}$ | $0,-1,0$ | 3.84 |
|  |  |  |

Equivalent positions
Superscript

| None | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | (ii) | $\frac{1}{2}-x$ | $\frac{1}{2}+y$ | $\frac{1}{2}-z$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (i) | $\frac{1}{2}+x$ | $\frac{1}{2}-y$ | $\frac{1}{2}+z$ |  |  |  |  |

Discussion. The molecule is centrosymmetric. The four Cl atoms are bonded to the U in a square plane and the two TEASO ligands are trans coordinated to the U (Fig. 1). The bond lengths and angles are similar to those observed in the related compounds $\mathrm{UCl}_{4} .2$ (TPPO) and $\mathrm{UCl}_{4} .2(\mathrm{PTPA})$ in both of which $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}$ is 2.61 to $2.63 \AA$ and $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}$ is 2.23 to $2.24 \AA$. The $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}$ lengths are surprisingly close to the $2 \cdot 65,2 \cdot 66 \AA$ found in $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right]^{2-}$ (Sipio, Tondello, Pelizzi, Ingletto \& Montenero, 1974). In $\mathrm{UCl}_{4}$. 2 (TEASO) the lengthening of the $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds appears to be accompanied by a real shortening of the $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}$ bond because the As-O bond is significantly longer than the $1.644 \AA$ found in
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Fig. 1. A projection down $y$ showing the numbering system.
$\mathrm{OAs}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Ferguson \& Macaulay, 1969). All these observations are consistent with a stronger $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}$ interaction than is observed in the phosphine oxide complexes.

The $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ groups in the TEASO ligand are folded in an irregular manner which is best illustrated by comparing the torsion angles $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 57^{\circ},-\mathrm{C}(3)-$ $C(4) 179^{\circ},-C(5)-C(6) 76^{\circ}$. Two ethyl groups are folded against the $\mathrm{UCl}_{4}$ plane [Table 2(b); $\mathrm{C}(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(6)$ ] while the third stands away from it. This seems to reduce the packing density resulting in a mean volume of over $27 \AA^{3}$ per non-hydrogen atom in $\mathrm{UCl}_{4}$. 2(TEASO) compared with $25 \AA^{3}$ in $\mathrm{UCl}_{4}$. 2 (HMPA) and $24 \AA^{3}$ in $\mathrm{UCl}_{4}$. 2 (PTPA).
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